

Gender Quotas and Political Representation: Successes and Paradoxes Across Democracies

Sambhavi Ghoshal¹, Banshikha Neog²

¹Postgraduate Student, Postgraduate and Research Department of Political Science, St. Xavier's College (Autonomous) College, Kolkata

²Postgraduate Student, Postgraduate and Research Department of Political Science, St. Xavier's College (Autonomous) College, Kolkata

Abstract

Over the past three decades, gender quotas have emerged as a key international policy instrument for increasing women's political representation. The recognition that representative democracy lacks legitimacy when half of the population remains structurally excluded has driven demands for gender equality in political participation. Today, more than 110 countries have adopted gender quotas in various forms, including legislative quotas, reserved seats, and voluntary party quotas. These mechanisms have significantly enhanced the descriptive representation of women and, in many cases, strengthened the substantive articulation of gender-sensitive policies (Paxton, Hughes & Painter, 2009). However, despite their widespread adoption, the impact, enforcement, and design of quotas vary considerably across regions, producing notable paradoxes such as tokenism, elite capture, proxy representation, and resistance that limits women's effective participation.

Gender quota adoption is more common in democratic systems, where normative commitments to inclusivity

provide women's movements with opportunities to demand reform. Empirical research demonstrates a positive correlation between democracy and quota adoption, though informal norms often weaken quota effectiveness even when women gain access to political office. Legal frameworks addressing gender discrimination are frequently necessary to challenge entrenched male dominance.

The effects of gender quotas differ significantly across democracies due to variations in patriarchal values, party systems, electoral rules, and enforcement mechanisms. Through a comparative analysis of quota models in India, Rwanda, Latin America (Argentina, Mexico, Bolivia), and Europe (Sweden, Norway), this article evaluates both the transformative potential of gender quotas and the paradoxes they generate across political contexts.

Keywords: *Gender Quotas, Women's Political Representation, Comparative Analysis*

Introduction: an overview of gender quota and its historical context

Rights, liberties, and institutions cannot exist without political representation, which is a fundamental component of democracy. In the past, a lack of access to resources or unofficial networks has frequently been blamed for women's low political engagement in democracies. Policies known as gender quotas are intended to boost the number of women in positions of political authority. Depending on how they are implemented and at what level, these quotas can be divided into various categories. Reserved seats, party quotas, and legislative quotas are the main categories of gender quotas. In a legislative body, **reserved seats** refer to the allocation of a certain number of seats solely for women. Election laws or constitutional amendments are frequently used to impose

this kind of quota. Reserved seats have been successfully introduced in nations like Rwanda and Uganda. **Party quotas** are voluntary strategies used by political parties to boost the proportion of female candidates. These quotas can differ greatly between parties and are frequently impacted by the party's commitment to gender equality and philosophy. In nations like Sweden, where political parties have embraced strong feminist agendas, party quotas have been crucial. All political parties are required by law to run a specific proportion of female candidates under **legislative quotas**. Because it applies to all parties and ensures a wider impact, this kind of quota is thought to be more resilient than party quotas. Women's representation has significantly increased as a result of the implementation of statutory quotas in nations like France and Belgium (Lee, 2025).

Gender quotas are a relatively new practice in politics, having gained traction in the latter half of the 20th century. Gender quotas were first implemented mostly in Latin America and Europe. A number of international agreements and conventions, including the 1979 United Nations General Assembly Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW), have impacted the development of quotas. Despite women's consistent political advancement, there is significant variety in development and change patterns at the national level. This study examines the effects of electoral systems, national-level gender quotas, and the expansion of democracy, including civil liberties and political rights, on the paths of women's legislative representation at the national level (Paxton, Hughes, & Painter, 2009).

Cross-national analysis

India: Based on the constitutional principles of justice, equality, and inclusion, India's efforts to achieve gender equality in political representation have developed gradually. The ratification of the 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments in 1992 and 1993 marked a significant turning point in this journey. These changes established a decentralized structure of government and required women, especially those from Scheduled Castes (SCs) and Scheduled Tribes (STs), to hold one-third of the seats in Panchayati Raj Institutions (village-level councils) and urban local bodies. By allowing women to actively participate in grassroots decision-making, where genuine democratic engagement frequently starts, these changes sought to address historical gender disparities. Millions of women, many from underprivileged and rural backgrounds, entered politics for the first time as a result of these reforms, which had a revolutionary effect and produced a new group of female leaders. These women started to question long-standing patriarchal conventions in addition to bringing new viewpoints to administration. Their presence enhanced the provision of public services, especially in areas like sanitation, health, and education. However, the higher levels of political power did not share this bottom-up success. From approximately 5% in the first Lok Sabha in 1952 to only over 14% in the 17th Lok Sabha in 2019, women's representation in the national Parliament remained remarkably low. The Women's Reservation Bill, which proposed a 33% reservation for women in the Lok Sabha and state legislative assemblies, was tabled in 1996 to address this ongoing discrepancy. In order to address the gender disparity at higher levels of political authority, the measure was a bold step. But there were several difficulties along the way. It encountered strong opposition from a

number of political circles despite being revived several times throughout the years. Progress was halted by worries about upsetting established political hierarchies and the absence of sub-quotas for OBC and minority women. Despite being in legislative limbo for almost thirty years, the bill became a symbolic touchstone for gender justice. However, the bill's 2023 passing restored optimism and confirmed India's dedication to inclusive democracy. Gender quotas' historical trajectory highlights both the difficulties and the tenacity of initiatives to strengthen women's political empowerment in India. With 454 votes in favour and just two against in the Lok Sabha, the bill was unanimously approved in the Rajya Sabha. On September 28, 2023, President Droupadi Murmu signed the legislation into law. The reservation is anticipated to take effect with the 2029 general elections, but its implementation is dependent on the completion of the next census and delimitation process, which is anticipated after 2026 (Sawant, 2025).

Rwanda: Rwanda is notable worldwide for its efforts to promote gender equality and women's empowerment (GEWE), especially in the areas of leadership and political engagement. After the genocide in 1994, the Rwandan Patriotic Front established norms and a United Nations-sponsored peace accord that controlled Rwanda. In order to guarantee women's involvement in decision-making, President Paul Kagame's administration enacted a new constitution in 2003 that contained a gender quota in Article 10. Only 19% of Rwandan political leaders were female prior to the genocide, and women's access to education and employment prospects was restricted. Increased female participation in governance was essential due to the post-genocide demographic change, when women comprised up 60–70% of the population (Dutta, 2023). At the national and subnational levels, Rwanda's two-

chamber parliament includes mandatory quotas for women's representation in both the lower and upper houses. According to the law, women must hold 30% of all elected posts in national and subnational decision-making organizations, including 24 of the lower house of parliament's 80 seats. A special electoral college made up of voters from district and local women's councils selects the lower house's reserved seats. District executives nominated by the National Women's Council and National Bureau of Sectors (a division of districts) elect local women's councils and district councils. In Rwanda, women are represented at the community level by the National Women's Council, a grassroots group whose members are chosen locally through a democratic process. The government promised to appoint women to leadership positions (such as ministers, local authorities, and police chiefs), and there are optional gender quotas for political parties. With the results of its most recent 2024 legislative election, Rwanda has once again shown its persistent commitment to gender parity in a historic step towards gender equality. In the Chamber of Deputies, the proportion of female seats rose from 61.3% in 2018 to 63.8% in 2024. The Constitution mandates that women make up at least 30% of the legislators in the lower chamber. Given that the Chamber of Deputies has 80 members overall, the percentage quota allotted to women is equivalent to 24 MPs (UN, 2024).

Latin America: The 1980s redemocratization of Latin America had primarily benefited men. After electoral politics resumed, women who had spearheaded pro-democracy protests were marginalized. In the first post-transition elections, women won just roughly 5% of legislative seats. In the years that followed, progress hardly changed throughout the region. Women made up less than 10% of the lower or unicameral legislatures in the region on average during the 1990s. The

representation of women in Latin American nations varies significantly. Argentine women started to change electoral politics in Latin America and around the world in 1991. Congresswoman Luz Salgado stated that “a patriarchal, machista political culture is to blame for the fact that out of 2,013 parliamentarians, only 84 are women” when Peru passed its quota law in 1997. Women lobbied for quota systems to expedite their entry into elected offices rather than waiting for gender bias to go away on its own (Piscopo, 2020). That objective has been furthered by gender quotas. From 9.1 percent in the early 1990s to 26.7 percent in the early 2010s and 36.8 percent in 2023, the proportion of female legislators in the region’s lower/single chambers climbed. Women make up about half of the legislature in Bolivia and Argentina. In the upper houses of parliament, the average percentage of seats held by women is 34.1%. Argentina is unique in that more than 40% of these posts are held by women. Gender parity has been attained in Bolivia and Mexico, which means that women are more represented in their upper houses than in their lower or only legislative chambers. In comparison, less than 20% of upper-house seats are held by women in Brazil and the Dominican Republic. In contrast to Guatemala and Uruguay, where there is only one speaker and none in the other countries, Argentina and Mexico have both female speakers in the lower and upper chambers. In Latin American local government, women make up 32.7% of council members and 15.4% of mayors. Over 40% of ministers are women in Peru, Costa Rica, and Mexico. With less than 15% of female ministers, the Dominican Republic, Guatemala, Bolivia, and Uruguay have the lowest percentages of female ministers in their presidential cabinets. Well-crafted quota laws with placement mandates that prioritize female representation on ballots and strict enforcement of the regulations (with

penalties for disobedience) are essential to their greater representation (Chaves, Ribas, & Lovenduski, 2024).

Europe: Gender quotas have significantly increased the representation of women in politics in Sweden. The Swedish strategy, which combines statutory requirements and party quotas, has successfully boosted female involvement and encouraged more inclusive policy-making. With their welfare systems and political and legal frameworks supporting women's rights and gender equality, the five Nordic nations—Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway, and Sweden—are frequently seen as some of the most gender equal nations in the world (Dyvik, 2024). The implementation of gender quotas is frequently credited to the Scandinavian nations, especially Sweden and Norway (Kavita, 2023). In 1993, Sweden's Social Democratic party centrally adopted a gender quota and imposed it on all its local party groups, which led to a significant rise in the proportion of female lawmakers. Women make up 47% of the Swedish Parliament (Riksdag) as of 2022 (IPU, 2022). Political parties' dedication to gender equality and the power of powerful women's movements pushing for reform are partly responsible for this success (Freidenvall, 2006). In a similar vein, women now hold 45% of parliamentary seats in Norway thanks to the country's implementation of party quotas, which has greatly increased their representation in political positions (IPU, 2022).

Research methodology

This study employs a comparative analysis approach, relying on secondary sources such as scholarly articles, government reports, and data from international organizations. The analysis focuses on the implementation and outcomes of gender quotas in selected countries, including Sweden, Rwanda, India, and Mexico. Data on women's political

representation is sourced from the Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU) and other reputable databases.

Key findings and analysis

Rwanda's legislative quotas and India's reserved seats stand in stark contrast to Sweden's and Norway's voluntary party quotas. Gender quotas have been implemented successfully in a number of nations. Bolivia and Mexico, in addition to Rwanda and the Scandinavian nations, have shown that well-crafted and implemented gender quotas can result in notable gains in the representation of women in politics. Bolivia's lower house of parliament now has a majority of women thanks to the implementation of legislative quotas and more extensive political reforms. In a similar vein, women's representation in political offices has increased dramatically in Mexico as a result of constitutional changes requiring gender parity (Piscopo, 2016).

Women's engagement at the grassroots level has greatly risen because to India's designated seats for women in local administration (Panchayati Raj institutions). But national representation is still low; as of 2022, women made up just 14% of the Indian Parliament (IPU, 2022). Progress is nevertheless hampered by political opposition, patriarchal views, and cultural hurdles.

As of 2022, women had 61% of the lower house seats in Rwanda thanks to legislative quotas that were implemented in 2003 (IPU, 2022). This accomplishment is mostly attributable to the post-genocide dedication to gender equality and the need to include women in the country's reconstruction.

Through legislative quotas and constitutional amendments requiring gender parity, Mexico has achieved notable progress in women's political representation. Consequently,

as of 2022, 48% of seats in the lower house of Congress are held by women (IPU, 2022). The efficacy of integrating quotas with more comprehensive political changes and enforcement measures are demonstrated by Mexico's achievement (Piscopo, 2016).

Women now make up 53% of Bolivia's lower house of parliament as of 2022 thanks to legislative quotas that were put into place in 1997 and strengthened over time (IPU, 2022). This accomplishment has been largely attributed to the political resolve to promote gender equality and the efficient execution of these quotas.

The use of gender quotas differs greatly between Sweden, Norway, and Argentina, indicating distinct strategies for attaining women's political representation. With around 46–47% of women in the Riksdag (IPU 2024–25), Sweden has one of the highest levels of representation in the world. In 1993, the Social Democratic Party in Sweden adopted the zipper system, a gender quota system whereby women and men are placed alternately on all party lists. In a similar vein, Norway does not enforce state-mandated quotas; instead, internal 40% gender guidelines have been adopted by political parties, most notably the Labour Party, resulting in around 45% women in the Storting. On the other hand, Argentina was the first country to enact legislative quotas with its 1991 quota law. Later, the country passed the Gender Parity Law in 2017, which required 50% of men and women on all electoral lists with a zipper-style alternation. This resulted in around 45% of women in the Chamber of Deputies and 50–51% in the Senate. When taken as a whole, these examples show how high levels of women's parliamentary participation may be achieved using both legally enforced parity frameworks and voluntary party processes (Kavita, 2023).

Prevalent challenges

Despite their achievements, gender quotas have a number of drawbacks. The fact that quotas would not always result in a significant rise in women's political power is one of the main worries. Women elected under quotas may encounter obstacles in their political parties or legislative bodies, which would restrict their capacity to bring about change. Furthermore, elements including the election system, political culture, and the existence of other discriminatory practices might compromise the efficacy of quotas. For instance, women who are elected using quotas may encounter prejudice inside their political parties or be viewed as tokens in certain nations. The institutional political background, structural problems including poverty and violence, and male-dominated political, economic, and social environments continue to impede women in positions of power.

Numerous institutional and cultural barriers continue to limit women's political presence. Physical, psychological, and online violence against women in politics fosters a hostile climate that deters involvement. It is challenging for women to enter and prosper in political organizations and practices because they frequently mirror standards of conventional masculinity. Women legislators are often ignored or marginalized in decision-making processes, even when they are elected. Many women's access to networks, resources, and campaigning is further hampered by entrenched socioeconomic disparities. For women from minority ethnic, sexual, and class backgrounds who experience overlapping kinds of discrimination, these obstacles are considerably more severe. Additionally, attempts to increase the number of women in politics frequently result in opposition, which strengthens opposition to gender equality and slows the advancement of inclusive representation.

Conclusion: probable prospects

One important tactic for advancing gender equality in politics is the implementation of gender quotas. The data indicates that quotas can greatly boost women's representation and promote more inclusive decision-making processes, notwithstanding their drawbacks. Understanding the function and effects of gender quotas will continue to be crucial as the world works toward greater gender equality.

Gender quotas' future depends on resolving the issues and objections raised by their application. It is crucial to make sure that gender quotas are complemented by more extensive political and cultural reforms in order to increase their efficacy. This entails fostering social views that respect gender equality, overcoming patriarchal norms and opposition from political elites, and offering resources and assistance to women elected through quotas. Furthermore, it is essential to make sure that gender quotas are created and used in a way that takes into account the distinct political and cultural circumstances of every nation. This might entail implementing a mix of party quotas, legislative quotas, and reserved seats that are adapted to local circumstances. Legislative quotas with strong enforcement mechanisms, for instance, could be advantageous in nations with strong patriarchal histories, but voluntary party quotas might be more successful in nations with more egalitarian political cultures.

Other nations may make great progress toward attaining gender parity in political representation by taking note of the lessons learned from nations that have effectively implemented gender quotas and resolving the issues related to their implementation.

References

- Lee, S. (2025, May 26). Understanding Gender Quotas. Number Analytics. <https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/understanding-gender-quotas>
- Piscopo, J.M. (2020, October 22). When Do Quotas in Politics Work? Latin America Offers Lessons. *Americas Quarterly*. <https://www.americasquarterly.org/article/when-do-quotas-in-politics-work-latin-america-offers-lessons/>
- Paxton, P., Hughes, M.M., & Painter, M.A. (2009, November 26). *European Journal of Political Research*, 49(1), 25-52. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6765.2009.01886.x>
- Dyvik, E.H. (2024, July 11). Women in the Nordic countries - Statistics & Facts. Statista. <https://www.statista.com/topics/9893/women-in-the-nordic-countries/#topicOverview>
- Dutta, R. (2023, June 6). Political Reservations for Women in Parliament: Rwanda. <https://www.sdg16.plus/policies/rwandas-30-percent-gender-quota-led-to-the-worlds-largest-share-of-women-in-government/>
- United Nations - Rwanda. (2024, November 4). Rwanda reaffirms its unwavering commitment to gender equality with 63.8 per cent of women in the Chamber of Deputies. https://rwanda.un.org/en/282783-rwanda-reaffirms-its-unwavering-commitment-gender-equality-638-cent-women-chamber-deputies?afd_azwaf_tok=eyJraWQiOiJFNEEyOOEJCQ0VDQTJCMUNEREZr
- Dr. Sawant, R.K. (2025, May). Women In Politics: A Comparative Analysis of Gender Quotas in India. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*, 13(5). <https://ijcrt.org/papers/IJCRT2505027.pdf>
- Chaves, V.S., Ribas, F., Lovenduski, J. (2024, April). Representing women in Latin America. <https://www.kcl.ac.uk/giwl/assets/representing-women-in-latin-america.pdf>
- Dr. Kavita. (2023, December). Women in Politics: A Comparative Analysis of Gender Quotas in Different Countries. *International Journal of Social Studies and Multidisciplinary Review*, 1(3). <https://research.bytethebuzz.com/wp-content/>

uploads/2024/07/Dr.-Kavita-Women-in-Politics.pdf.

Freidenvall, L. (2006). Women's Political Representation and Gender Quotas – The Swedish Case. *Kvinder, Køn & Forskning*, 15(1), 39-44.

IPU. (2022). Women in National Parliaments. Inter-Parliamentary Union.

Zetterberg, P., Bjarnegård, E., Hughes, M. M., & Paxton, P. (2022). Democracy and the Adoption of Electoral Gender Quotas Worldwide. *Comparative politics*, 54(2), 327–348. <https://doi.org/10.5129/001041522x16222193902161>